arXiv:hep-th/0209066v2 17 Oct 2002

September 2002

Newton potential in deSitter braneworld

Shin'ichi NOJIRI[1] and Sergei D. ODINTSOV♠[2]

Department of Applied Physics
National Defence Academy, Hashirimizu Yokosuka 239-8686, JAPAN

♠ *Lab. for Fundamental Study, Tomsk State Pedagogical University,*
634041 Tomsk, RUSSIA

ABSTRACT

Using graviton correlator on deSitter (dS) brane in 5d AdS or dS bulk we calculate the four-dimensional Newton potential. For flat brane in AdS bulk the Randall-Sundrum result is recovered. For flat brane in dS bulk the sign of subleading ($1/r^3$) term in Newton potential is negative if compare with AdS bulk. In accordance with dS/CFT correspondence this indicates that dual CFT should be non-unitary (for example, higher derivative conformal theory).

PACS: 98.80.Hw,04.50.+h,11.10.Kk,11.10.Wx

---

[1] nojiri@cc.nda.ac.jp

[2] odintsov@tspu.edu.ru

1. There are natural reasons to study deSitter braneworld where brane is deSitter (dS) space and bulk may be arbitrary up to some extent. Indeed, first of all, recent astrophysical data indicate that our universe is (or will be) in dS phase. Second, recently conjectured dS/CFT correspondence [1, 2] shows that dS space may be used to describe the dual CFT living on the brane. Moreover, gravity trapping occurs on dS brane for both AdS or dS bulks (see [3, 4]) like in the original Randall-Sundrum braneworld [5] where brane is flat and bulk is 5d AdS space.

In the study of gravity trapping, the Newton potential on the brane is relevant object to search for. Starting from the quantum corrected Newton potential [6] in four dimensions and using AdS/CFT table and braneworld relation between 4d gravitational constant and 5d quantities it was possible to prove [7] the complementarity between AdS/CFT correspondence and Randall-Sundrum braneworld. Definitely, this sheds some light to the structure of dual CFT. (Such complementarity is seen in another way: reincarnation of trace anomaly driven inflation [8] in the new braneworld inflation [9, 10]).

In the present letter we calculate the Newton potential (up to sub-leading term) in dS or flat brane when bulk is 5d AdS or dS space. First of all, we check that when brane is flat (bulk is AdS), the Newton potential coincides with the one found in refs.[5, 13, 14]. However, for curved dS brane the Newton potential at short distances shows the drastically different behaviour if compare with result for 4d gravity. For flat brane in dS bulk the sub-leading ($1/r^3$) correction to the Newton potential becomes negative if compare with the case of AdS bulk. As the corresponding one-loop correction from usual 4d conformal matter is positive, in accordance with predictions of dS/CFT correspondence [1, 2] it indicates that dual CFT should be non-unitary (like higher derivative CFT).

2. We will start from the deSitter brane in AdS bulk space. The initial action looks as:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_{\rm EH} + S_{\rm GH} + S_1, \quad S_{\rm EH} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^5x\sqrt{g}\left(R + \frac{12}{l^2}\right)\ , \\ S_{\rm GH} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{\gamma}\, K\ , \quad S_1 = \frac{3}{8\pi G l'}\int d^4x\sqrt{\gamma}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here the coefficient of S_1 is chosen to be arbitrary for a while. The 5-

dimensional Euclidean anti deSitter space (hyperboloid) is natural solution of above theory. The corresponding metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{\mathrm{S}_5} &= l^2\left(dy^2 + \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j\right) \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \sinh^2 y\ \hat{\gamma}_{ij}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{\gamma_{ij}}dx^i dx^j$ describes the metric of S_4 with unit radius. The equation determing the radius of the brane has the following form [15]:

$$\partial_y A|_{\text{on the brane}} = \frac{l}{l'}\ . \tag{3}$$

Here A is given by

$$A = \ln\sinh y - \ln\cosh\sigma\ . \tag{4}$$

If there is a brane at $y = y_0$, defining the radius of S_4 as $R_b = l\sinh y_0$, one obtains the following equation from (3):

$$\frac{1}{R_b}\sqrt{1 + \frac{R_b^2}{l^2}} = \frac{1}{l'}\ . \tag{5}$$

When $R_b \to +\infty$, the r.h.s. of (5) goes to $\frac{1}{l}$ and when $R_b \to 0$, the r.h.s. goes to the positive infinity. Then if

$$l' < l\ , \tag{6}$$

there is a unique non-trivial solution. When $l' \to l - 0$, the brane radius tends to infinity and spherical brane becomes flat one.

In (5), the r.h.s. comes from the brane tension and the l.h.s. from gravity. When $l' > 0$, the brane tension makes the radius of the brane smaller. Then Eq.(5) tells that the gravity tends to make the radius larger. We should also note that l.h.s. is the monotonically decreasing function of R_b. Then if the radius of the brane becomes smaller than R_b given by (5), the contribution from gravity becomes larger that that from the brane tension. Then the radius of the brane tends to become larger. On the other hand, if the radius becomes larger than R_b, the contribution from the tension becomes dominant and the radius tends to become smaller. Then one can find the solution in (5) is stable.

We now rewrite the bulk metric which includes the effect of the perturbations $h_{ij}(y,x)$ as follows:

$$ds^2 = l^2 \left(dy^2 + \left(\gamma_{ij} + h_{ij}(y,x)\right) dx^i dx^j \right) \ . \tag{7}$$

h_{ij} is transverse and traceless with respect to the metric on the spherical spatial sections:

$$\hat{\gamma}^{ij} h_{ij}(y,x) = \hat{\nabla}^i h_{ij}(y,x) = 0, \tag{8}$$

with $\hat{\nabla}$ being the covariant derivative defined by the metric $\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$. Then the linearized Einstein equation has the following form:

$$\nabla^2 h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{l^2} h_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{9}$$

We now use the standard tensor spherical harmonics $H^{(p)}_{ij}(x)$ [16] to expand the metric perturbations

$$\hat{\gamma}^{ij} H^{(p)}_{ij}(x) = \hat{\nabla}^i H^{(p)}_{ij}(x) = 0 \ , \tag{10}$$

They are tensor eigenfunctions of the Laplacian:

$$\hat{\nabla}^2 H^{(p)}_{ij} = \left(2 - p(p+4)\right) H^{(p)}_{ij} \ , \tag{11}$$

where $p = 2, 3, \cdots$. As usual in quantum mechanics, the eigenvalue of $-\nabla^2$ can be regarded as the square of the momentum. Especially when p is large, we can regard p as the absolute value of the momentum. Besides p, $H^{(p)}_{ij}$ has integer indeces k, l, and m, which take values in the ranges $0 \leq k \leq p$, $0 \leq l \leq k$, and $-k \leq m \leq k$. Then for a fixed p, the degree of the degeneracy is defined by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p} \sum_{l=0}^{k} (2k+1) = \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(2p+3)}{6} \ , \tag{12}$$

which reduces to $\frac{p^3}{3}$ for large p. One can also normalize $H^{(p)}_{ij}$ as

$$\int \sqrt{\gamma} d^4\Omega_{\mathrm{S}_4} H^{(p)}_{ij(klm)} H^{(p')}_{i'j'(k'l'm')} = \delta^{pp'} \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{kk'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \ . \tag{13}$$

Here $\sqrt{\gamma}d^4\Omega_{\mathrm{S}_4}$ is the volume element of S_4 with unit radius. The condition of the completeness is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\delta^{ii'}\delta^{jj'}\delta\left(\Omega-\Omega'\right)=\sum_{p,k,l,m}H^{(p)}_{ij(klm)}(\Omega)H^{(p)}_{i'j'(k'l'm')}(\Omega')\ . \tag{14}$$

Here Ω (Ω') expresses the coordinates of a point on S_4.

The degeneracy corresponding to the measure of the continuous momentum q in the limit of $R_b\to\infty$ is:

$$\sum_p\frac{(p+1)(p+2)(2p+3)}{6}\cdots\to\frac{V_4R_b^4}{(2\pi)^4}\int V_3q^3dq\cdots\ . \tag{15}$$

Here V_4 and V_3 are the volumes of S_4 and S_3 with unit radius:

$$V_4=\frac{8\pi^2}{3}\ ,\quad V_3=2\pi^2\ . \tag{16}$$

Then Eq.(15) tells

$$\frac{p^3}{3}dp\sim\frac{R_b^4q^3dq}{3}\ . \tag{17}$$

that is

$$q\sim\frac{p}{R_b}\ . \tag{18}$$

The metric perturbations can be written as a sum of separable perturbations of the form

$$h_{ij}(y,x)=f_p^{\mathrm{AdS}}(y)H^{(p)}_{ij}(x)\ . \tag{19}$$

Then as in [10, 17, 15], the graviton correlator may be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h_{ij}(x)h_{i'j'}(x')\rangle &= \sum_{p=2}^{\infty}W^{(p)}_{iji'j'}(x,x')\frac{1}{2T_p(y_0)}\ ,\\ T_p(y_0) &= \frac{l^3}{2\pi G}\frac{1}{32l^4}\left(\frac{{f^{\mathrm{AdS}}}'_p(y_0)}{f_p^{\mathrm{AdS}}(y_0)}+4\coth y_0-\frac{6l}{l'}\right)\ ,\\ W^{(p)}_{iji'j'}(x,x') &\equiv H^{(p)}_{ij}(x)H^{(p)}_{i'j'}(x')\ .\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

¿From the linearized Einstein equation (9), we find $f_p^{\rm AdS}(y)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$f_p''(y) - \left[-4 + \left\{p(p+3)+2\right\}\sinh^{-2} y\right] f_p(y) = 0 \ . \tag{21}$$

Taking $f_p^{\rm AdS}(y) = \sinh^{\frac{1}{2}} y F_p\left(\cosh y\right)$, we obtain

$$0 = \left(1-z^2\right) F_p''(z) - 2zF_p'(z) + \left[\frac{3}{2}\cdot\frac{5}{2} - \frac{\left(p+\frac{3}{2}\right)^2}{1-z^2}\right] F_p \ . \tag{22}$$

Here $z = \cosh y$. The solution is given by the associated Legendre functions:

$$F_p(z) = P_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\left(p+\frac{3}{2}\right)}(z) = P_{-\frac{5}{2}}^{-\left(p+\frac{3}{2}\right)}(z) \ , \quad Q_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\left(p+\frac{3}{2}\right)}(z) = Q_{-\frac{5}{2}}^{-\left(p+\frac{3}{2}\right)}(z) \ . \tag{23}$$

We now consider the limit $l' \to l - 0$ and we assume $1 \ll |p| \ll |z|$. Then Eq.(22) is reduced into

$$0 = -z^2 F_p''(z) - 2zF_p'(z) + \left[\frac{3}{2}\cdot\frac{5}{2} + \frac{p^2}{z^2}\right] F_p(z) \ . \tag{24}$$

The solution of the above equation is given in terms of the deformed Bessel functions

$$F_p = z^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(aI_2\left(\frac{p}{z}\right) + bK_2\left(\frac{p}{z}\right)\right) \ . \tag{25}$$

When z is large (or $i\frac{p}{z}$ is small), the deformed Bessel functions behave as

$$\begin{aligned} I_2\left(\frac{p}{z}\right) &\sim \left(\frac{p}{2z}\right)^2\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{p}{2z}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{48}\left(\frac{p}{2z}\right)^4 + \cdots\right] \ , \\ K_2\left(\frac{p}{z}\right) &\sim \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{p}{2z}\right)^{-2}\left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{2z}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{p}{2z}\right)^4\left(\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{p}{2z}\right)\right) + \cdots\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Here γ is the Euler number: $\gamma = 0.57721\cdots$. Note that $K_2\left(\frac{p}{z}\right)$ gives the dominant contribution when z is large. With $a = 0$ we find in the limit of $R_b \to \infty$

$$T_p(y_0) = \frac{l^3}{2\pi G}\frac{1}{32 l^4}\left\{-\frac{pl}{R_b}\frac{K_2'\left(pl/2R_b\right)}{K_2\left(pl/2R_b\right)} - 2\right\} \ . \tag{27}$$

When the momentum q is Wick-rotated $q \to iq$, the modified Bessel function K_2 is replaced by the (second class) Bessel function or Neumann function N_2:

$$T_p(y_0) = \frac{l^3}{2\pi G}\frac{1}{32 l^4}\left\{ -\frac{pl}{R_b}\frac{N_2'\left(pl/2R_b\right)}{N_2\left(pl/2R_b\right)} - 2 \right\} . \tag{28}$$

For large $ql = \frac{pl}{R_b}$, $N_2\left(pl/2R_b\right)$ in (28) behaves as

$$N_2\left(pl/2R_b\right) \sim \sqrt{\frac{4R_b}{\pi pl}}\cos\left(\frac{pl}{2R_b} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) . \tag{29}$$

Then we find

$$T_p(y_0) \sim \frac{l^3}{2\pi G}\frac{1}{32 l^4}\left\{ \frac{pl}{R_b}\tan\left(\frac{pl}{2R_b} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) - \frac{3}{2} \right\} . \tag{30}$$

Then for large p, T_p vanishes at

$$\frac{pl}{2R_b} = \frac{ql}{2} \sim \left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right)\pi \tag{31}$$

for large integer n. There appear infinite number of massive modes

$$m^2 \sim \left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \frac{\pi^2}{l^2} . \tag{32}$$

When pl/R_b is small

$$\begin{aligned} T_p(y_0) &= \frac{l^3}{2\pi G}\frac{1}{32 l^4}\left\{ -\frac{p^2 l^2}{2R_b^2} - \frac{p^4 l^4}{4R_b^4}\left(\gamma + \frac{3}{4} + \ln\frac{pl}{2R_b}\right) + \cdots \right\} \\ &= -\frac{l}{128\pi G}\left\{ q^2 + \frac{q^4 l^2}{2}\left(\gamma + \frac{3}{4} + \ln\frac{ql}{2}\right) + \cdots \right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

If we define a scalar propagator Δ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(q) = \left\{ q^2 + \frac{q^4 l^2}{2}\left(\gamma + \frac{3}{4} + \ln\frac{ql}{2}\right) + \cdots \right\}^{-1} = \Delta_0(q) + \Delta_{\rm KK}(q) + \mathcal{O}\left(q^2\right) , \\ &\Delta_0(q) \equiv \frac{1}{q^2} , \quad \Delta_{\rm KK}(q) = -\frac{l^2}{2}\left(\gamma + \frac{3}{4} + \ln\frac{ql}{2}\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$\Delta_0(q)$ corresponds to the contribution from the zero mode, which is trapped on the brane and $\Delta_{\rm KK}(q)$ to that from the massive Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes [14, 7]. (Note that 4d graviton is massive in constant curvature space, for a recent discussion of appearence of such mass see [18]). Then the Newton potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V\left(|\mathbf{r}|\right) &\sim \frac{m_1 m_2 G^{(4)}}{(2\pi)^2}\int d^3q \mathrm{e}^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\left(\Delta_0(q)+\frac{4}{3}\Delta_{\rm KK}(q)\right) \\ &= m_1 m_2 G^{(4)}\left[\frac{1}{r}+\frac{2l^2}{3r^3}\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The factor $\frac{4}{3}$ in the first line of (35) appears since the KK modes are massive. In (35), the four dimensional gravitational coupling $G^{(4)}$ is defined by

$$G^{(4)}=\frac{G}{2l} , \tag{36}$$

In [7, 11, 12, 13], the r^{-3} loop correction from the CFT to the Newton potential has been calculated as follows

$$V(r)=\frac{G^{(4)}m_1 m_2}{r}\left(1+\frac{\alpha G^{(4)}}{r^2}\right) . \tag{37}$$

The coefficient α is given by

$$\alpha=\frac{1}{45\pi}\left(12n_1+3n_{1/2}+n_0\right) . \tag{38}$$

Here n_1, $n_{1/2}$, and n_0 are the the numbers of vectors, (Majorana) fermions, and (real) scalars of the CFT. For $\mathcal{N}=4$ $SU(N)$ or $U(N)$ super Yang-Mills theory, we have $\left(n_1, n_{1/2}, n_0\right)=(N^2, 4N^2, 6N^2)$ then $\alpha=\frac{2N^2}{3\pi}$. On the other hand, by using the AdS/CFT and braneworld relation, we have $N^2=\pi l^2/G^{(4)}$ and therefore

$$\alpha G^{(4)}=2l^2/3 , \tag{39}$$

which reproduces the result in the Randall-Sundrum model [5, 12, 13]:

$$V(r)=\frac{G^{(4)}m_1 m_2}{r}\left(1+\frac{2l^2}{3r^2}\right) . \tag{40}$$

The above expression also coincides with Eq.(35). Thus, within our method where explicit form of spherical graviton correlator is used, we reproduced the Newton potential of RS brane in AdS bulk.

Finally in this section, we consider the case that $\frac{R_b}{l}$ is finite but p is large. This is the case of spherical brane. Since when p is large, the associated Legendre function $P_{-\frac{5}{2}}^{-p-\frac{1}{2}}(z)$

$$P_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-p-\frac{1}{2}}(z) \to \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\left(-p-\frac{1}{2}\right)\pi i}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}+p\right)}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{-\frac{p+\frac{1}{2}}{2}} \ . \tag{41}$$

one finds

$$T_p(y_0) \to \frac{p}{64\pi G l}\frac{\cosh y_0 + 1}{\sinh y_0} \ . \tag{42}$$

This tells that the potential of the gravity behaves as $\frac{1}{r^2}$ rather than $\frac{1}{r}$ at the short distance. At the very short distances, any manifold can be regarded to be flat. For the pure 4d theory, for any (curved or flat) manifold, the graviton correlator behaves as

$$\langle h_{ij}(x)h_{i'j'}(x')\rangle \sim \int \frac{d^4p}{p^2}\mathrm{e}^{ip\cdot(x-x')} \sim \frac{1}{\left|x-x'\right|^2} \ , \tag{43}$$

which tells that the gravitational potential shows the Coulomb-like behavior in 4 dimensions. On the other side, Eq.(42) gives at the short distances

$$\langle h_{ij}(x)h_{i'j'}(x')\rangle \sim \int \frac{d^4p}{p}\mathrm{e}^{ip\cdot(x-x')} \sim \frac{1}{\left|x-x'\right|^3} \ . \tag{44}$$

The behavior of $\left|x-x'\right|^{-3}$ is nothing but the 5-dimensional one. Therefore the potential of the gravity behaves as $\frac{1}{r^2}$ rather than $\frac{1}{r}$. Then the gravity force in the induced gravity becomes stronger at short distances than that in the pure 4d case.

3. After the explicit calculation of Newton potential for flat and dS branes in AdS bulk in the previous section, we will discuss such the brane in dS bulk space. We start with the following action:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S_{\mathrm{EH}} + S_{\mathrm{GH}} + S_1, \quad S_{\mathrm{EH}} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\left(R-\frac{12}{l^2}\right) \ , \\ S_{\mathrm{GH}} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{\gamma} \ , \quad S_1 = -\frac{3}{8\pi G l'}\int d^4x\sqrt{\gamma} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Here the coefficient of S_1 is chosen to be arbitrary for a while. There exists the 5-dimensional Lorentzian deSitter space solution:

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\mathrm{dS}_5}^2 &= l^2\left(-dy^2 + \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j\right) \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \cosh^2 y\, \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

which can be obtained from the following metric of 5-dimensional sphere S^5:

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\mathrm{S}_5}^2 &= l^2\left(dy^2 + \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j\right) \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \sin^2 y\, \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

by analytically continuing y as

$$y \to \frac{\pi}{2} - iy \ . \tag{48}$$

Then from the equation determining the radius of the brane (3)

$$A = \ln\cosh y - \ln\cosh\sigma \ , \tag{49}$$

defining the radius R_b of the brane as $R_b = l\cosh y_0$, and assuming that there is a brane at $y = y_0$, we find

$$\frac{1}{R_b}\sqrt{\frac{R_b^2}{l^2} - 1} = \frac{1}{l'} \ . \tag{50}$$

Eq.(50) has a solution labeled by l' if $\frac{l}{l'} < 1$ [3]:

$$R_b = \frac{ll'}{\sqrt{l'^2 - l^2}} \ . \tag{51}$$

Then R_b goes to infinity when $\frac{l}{l'} \to 1 - 0$.

As in (5), the r.h.s. in (50) expresses the contribution from the brane tension and the l.h.s. from gravity. The brane tension tends to make the radius of the brane smaller and the gravity tends to make the radius larger, again. We should note, however, in contrast with the case of (5), that the l.h.s. is the monotonically increasing function of R_b if $R_b > l$. Then if the radius of the brane becomes smaller than R_b given by (50), the contribution from the tension becomes dominant and the radius tends to become further smaller.

On the other hand, if the radius becomes larger than R_b, the contribution from gravity becomes larger and the radius tends to become further larger. Therefore, the solution in (50) is instable in contract with that of (5). This indicates also that dual CFT should be non-unitary theory.

We now rewrite bulk metric which includes the effect of the perturbations $h_{ij}(y,x)$ as in (7):

$$ds^2 = l^2 \left(-dy^2 + \left(\gamma_{ij} + h_{ij}(y,x) \right) dx^i dx^j \right) \ . \tag{52}$$

(The relevance of metric perturbations in dS/CFT correspondence has been recently discussed in [19]). Then the linearized Einstein equation has the following form:

$$\nabla^2 h_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{l^2} h_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{53}$$

As in (19), the metric perturbations can be written as a sum of separable perturbations of the form

$$h_{ij}(y,x) = f_p(y) H^{(p)}_{ij}(x) \ . \tag{54}$$

The graviton correlator may be calculated as follows [15]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_{ij}(x) h_{i'j'}(x') \rangle &= \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} W^{(p)}_{iji'j'}(x,x') \frac{1}{2T_p(y_0)} \ , \\ T_p(y_0) &= -\frac{l^3}{2\pi G} \frac{1}{32 l^4} \left(\frac{f_p'(y_0)}{f_p(y_0)} + 4 \tanh y_0 - \frac{6l}{l'} \right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

¿From the linearized Einstein equation (53), $f_p(y)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$f_p''(y) + \left[-4 + \{ p(p+3) + 2 \} \cosh^{-2} y \right] f_p(y) = 0 \ . \tag{56}$$

With $f_p(y) = \cosh^{\frac{1}{2}} y F_p(i \sinh y)$, we obtain (22) again with $z = i \sinh y$. Then the solution is again given in terms of the associated Legendre functions. In the limit $\frac{l}{l'} \to 1 - 0$, the solution is given by the Bessel functions

$$F_p = z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(a J_2 \left(i \frac{p}{z} \right) + b N_2 \left(i \frac{p}{z} \right) \right) \ . \tag{57}$$

When z is large (or $i\frac{p}{z}$ is small), the Bessel functions behave as

$$\begin{aligned} J_2\left(i\frac{p}{z}\right) &\sim \left(i\frac{p}{2z}\right)^2\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\left(i\frac{p}{2z}\right)^2+\frac{1}{48}\left(i\frac{p}{2z}\right)^4+\cdots\right] , \qquad (58) \\ N_2\left(i\frac{p}{z}\right) &\sim \frac{1}{\pi}\left(i\frac{p}{2z}\right)^{-2}\left[1+\left(i\frac{p}{2z}\right)^2-\left(i\frac{p}{2z}\right)^4\left(\gamma+\ln\left(i\frac{p}{2z}\right)\right)+\cdots\right] . \end{aligned}$$

when z is large, $N_2\left(i\frac{p}{z}\right)$ gives the dominant contribution. If we put $a=0$, we find in the limit of $R_b\to\infty$

$$T_p(y_0)=\frac{l^3}{2\pi G}\frac{1}{32l^4}\left\{-\frac{pl}{R_b}\frac{N_2'\left(pl/2R_b\right)}{N_2\left(pl/2R_b\right)}-2\right\} . \qquad (59)$$

Then when pl/R_b is small

$$\begin{aligned} T_p(y_0) &= \frac{l^3}{2\pi G}\frac{1}{32l^4}\left\{\frac{p^2l^2}{2R_b^2}-\frac{p^4l^4}{4R_b^4}\left(\gamma+\frac{3}{4}+\ln\frac{pl}{2R_b}\right)+\cdots\right\} \\ &= \frac{l}{126\pi G}\left\{q^2-\frac{q^4l^2}{2}\left(\gamma+\frac{3}{4}+\ln\frac{ql}{2}\right)+\cdots\right\} . \qquad (60) \end{aligned}$$

Using similar way as in previous section, the Newton potential is found to be

$$V\left(|\mathbf{r}|\right)\sim m_1m_2G^{(4)}\left[\frac{1}{r}-\frac{2l^2}{3r^3}\right] . \qquad (61)$$

We should note that the sign of the $1/r^3$ correction term is different from that in (35).

For large $ql=\frac{pl}{R_b}$, $N_2\left(pl/2R_b\right)$ in (59) behaves as in (29). Then we find

$$T_p(y_0)\sim\frac{l^3}{2\pi G}\frac{1}{32l^4}\left\{\frac{pl}{R_b}\tan\left(\frac{pl}{2R_b}+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\frac{3}{2}\right\} . \qquad (62)$$

For large p, T_p vanishes at

$$\frac{pl}{2R_b}=\frac{ql}{2}\sim\left(n-\frac{1}{4}\right)\pi \qquad (63)$$

for large integer n. Since q is spacelike or Euclidean momentum, Eq.(63) tells that there appear the tachyon modes with masses, which corresponds to (32),

$$m^2\sim-\left(n-\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\frac{\pi^2}{l^2} . \qquad (64)$$

This is consistent with the instability of solution in (50). Then the field theory on the brane seems to be non-unitary. Indeed, Strominger [1] and Hull [2] have conjectured that CFT in dS/CFT correspondence is not unitary. Then the above result seems to be consistent. Since the usual field theory gives positive contribution to the $1/r^3$ corrections to the Newton potential [6], then the dual field theory on the brane might be higher derivative conformal theory like higher derivative scalar, which is not unitary in general. Indeed, for the higher derivative conformal scalar $\alpha = -\frac{8}{45\pi}$.

In the above argument, the limit $1 \ll |p| \ll |z| = \frac{R_b}{l}$ is considered. Let us consider the case that $\frac{R_b}{l}$ is finite but p is large. Since when p is large, we find

$$T_p(y_0) \rightarrow \frac{p}{64\pi G l} \tanh y_0 \ , \tag{65}$$

the potential of the gravity behaves as $\frac{1}{r^2}$ rather than $\frac{1}{r}$ at short distance as in (44). The leading behavior is not changed with that in the case that the bulk is AdS although the sub-leading behavior might be changed. It is not easy to compare such subleading behavior with the experimental data, when the energy is very large. Furthermore in general there appears the anomaly induced effective action on the brane [9, 10], which changes the short distance behavior drastically [10, 17, 15]. Then it would be difficult to predict if the bulk is dS or AdS from the structure of Newton potential.

Acknowledgments

We thank J. Isern for interest in this work. The work by S.N. is supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan under the grant n. 13135208.

References

[1] A. Strominger, *JHEP* **0110** (2001) 034, hep-th/0106113.

[2] C. Hull, *JHEP* **9807** (1998) 021.

[3] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, *JHEP* **0112** (2001) 033, hep-th/0107134.

[4] I. Brevik, K. Ghoroku, S.D. Odintsov and M. Yahiro, hep-th/0204066; P. Singh and N. Dadhich, hep-th/0204190, hep-th/0208080; M. Ito, hep-th/0204113;

[5] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **83** 3370 (1999), hep-th/9905221; *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **83** 4690 (1999), hep-th/9906064.

[6] M.J.Duff, *Phys.Rev.* **D9** (1974)1837.

[7] M.J. Duff, J.T. Liu, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **85** (2000) 2052.

[8] A. Starobinsky, *Phys.Lett* **B91** (1980) 99.

[9] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 064006, hep-th/0001192; S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys.Lett.* **B484** (2000) 119, hep-th/0004097; *Gravitation and Cosmology* **8** (2002), hep-th/0105160.

[10] S.W. Hawking, T. Hertog and H.S. Reall, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 043501, hep-th/0003052.

[11] J. Garriga and T. Tanaka, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **84** (2000) 2778, hep-th/9911055.

[12] W. Muck, K.S. Viswanathan and I.V. Volovich, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 105019, hep-th/0002132.

[13] M.J. Duff, J.T. Liu, and H. Sati, hep-th/0207003.

[14] S.B. Giddings, E. Katz and L. Randall, *JHEP* **0003** (2000) 023, hep-th/0002091.

[15] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, and S. Ogushi, *Phys.Rev.* **D66** (2002) 023522, hep-th/0202098.

[16] A. Higuchi, *J.Math.Phys.* **28** (1987) 1553.

[17] S.W. Hawking, T. Hertog and H.S. Reall, *Phys.Rev.* **D63** (2001) 083504; hep-th/0010232.

[18] M. Porrati, hep-th/0112166.

[19] E. Abdalla, K. Castello-Branco, A. Lima-Santos, hep-th/0208065; K. Cha, B.-H. Lee and C. Park, hep-th/0207194